# FIB-SEM Feb. 4, 2019



# Outline

- SEM basics
- FIB Basics
- Application to room-temperature (conventional) specimens
- Use as a cryo-prep tool for cryo-TEM
- Use as a general prep tool (liftout) for TEM

# **SEM Basics**

- Electron probe is focused to a sharp point
- The probe is scanned across the specimen point by point, with each point producing signal
- Scan coils deflect beam to move across sample



# SEM Beam: probe size

- Ideally want as small a probe as possible, relative to pixel size
  Probe size is determined by voltage, current, divergence angle
- Lens distortions
  - Spherical aberration (focus different at center and edge of lens) – instrument dependent
  - Aperture diffraction
  - Astigmatism (user correctable)
  - Chromatic aberration voltage dependent



Goldstein et al, 2003

# **Beam-Specimen Interaction**

- Monte Carlo simulation of a 20 keV beam in Si
  - Dark traces: electrons which left the sample (BSE's)
- Electrons may be scattered elastically or inelastically
- Probability of elastic scattering ~ Z<sup>2</sup>
- Inelastic scattering:
  - Secondary electrons
  - X-rays



# Simulations at different voltages



## Material Dependence of Interaction Volume





Carbon, 20 keV

Iron, 20 keV

Goldstein et al, 2003

### **Calculation of Beam Penetration**

r (µm) = 
$$\frac{2.76 \text{ x } 10^{-2} \text{ A E}_0^{1.67}}{\rho \text{ Z}^{0.89}}$$

where  $\rho$  = density of the material (g/cm<sup>3</sup>), Z = atomic number, A = atomic mass, and E<sub>o</sub> = accelerating voltage.

Goldstein et al, 2003

# Back Scattered Electrons and Secondary Electrons

Detectors can be tuned for either one, or for both

# BSE efficiency is material dependent, voltage independent

#### Fraction of e's that backscatter



BSE's give contrast between light and heavy elements



#### Specimen Dependence of BSE, SE



SE's are less sensitive to atomic number than BSE's (may be more sensitive at lower beam energies)

Goldstein et al, 2003

## **Emission shape of BSE's**



Most BSE's are released close to origin ("high quality" BSE's) Higher atomic number elements have sharper central peak

Goldstein et al, 2003

# **Angular Dependence of SE's**



Goldstein et al, 2003

SE's also give topographic information



# Secondary electrons are low voltage



#### Bombardment with keV beam

Goldstein et al, 2003

# **Detection of BSE's, SE's**

# **Everhart-Thornley (ET) Detector**



Electrons strike scintillator, releasing photons Photons travel to photomultiplier tube Eventually converted to electric signal, storing intensity values Combined SE/BSE detector

# Apply bias

- Bias can be applied to detector, directing electrons toward or away from it
  - Negative bias (< -50 V): detect</p> only BSE's
  - Positive bias: collect more SE's, indirect BSE's : greater total signal



Positive bias

Goldstein et al, 2003

# **Through-Lens Detector (TTL)**



# **SEM** summary

- Images formed by scanning points across sample
- For higher resolution, want to minimize both probe size and interaction volume
  - Low voltage operation
  - But still need enough signal for detection
- Apply negative bias to detect mainly BSE's
- Backscattered imaging gives elemental contrast
- Secondary imaging gives more signal and topographic images
- Through Lens Detector for better resolution

# **Parameters for Optimal Imaging**

- Voltage: lower voltage for less penetrance (but less signal)
  - Higher quality optics needed for very low voltage
- Current: Higher current gives more signal but a larger probe size
- Working distance: decreasing distance from lens increases signal
- Field of view (magnification): pixel size
- Dwell time per pixel
- Number of scans
- Detector type
  - EVT, TLD, ICE (SE's and ions)
  - SE, BS or mixed mode

# **Biological Imaging**

- Biological specimens are mostly light elements: little elemental contrast
- Standard procedure, as with negative stain TEM, is to stain with heavy metal salts (lead, uranium, tungsten) and look at the stain
- Long procedure involving:
  - Tissue fixation
  - Substitution of water with organic solvent
  - Infiltration with resin
  - Staining of biological components
  - Polymerization of resin
- Worked out over past 50 years, many protocols for different cells, tissues, organelles
- Can get very fine ultrastructural detail

# **FIB Operation**

# **Basic Mechanism**

- Liquid Flow from Reservoir
- Ion Formation
- External Beam Interactions



#### **Gallium is the Most Popular LMIS**

#### A liquid metal

#### Room temperature operation

- Long lived (500-1500 hr sources)
- High vacuum compatible
- Large ion for sputtering





## Ion Column

#### Source - LMIS at top

- Focusing Optics
  - Use Electrostatic lenses since ions are heavier than electrons.
- Deflection Electronics/Pattern Board
- High-speed Blanking
  - Need to prevent milling while blanking



# Using the System

#### Beam Interactions



# Ion Beam to Sample Interactions





## **Sputtered Particles**

Sputtered Particle Ejection Behavior





# Geometry



# Geometry



# Deposition

- (Methylcyclopentadienyl) trimethyl platinum
- Warm to gas, spray over sample with needle
- I-beam or e-beam interactions break it apart, deposit metal onto sample
  - Protection
  - Hard surface for mill



# Applications to Resinembedded tissue

# **Tissue or Cells**

#### Sample Prep

- High Pressure frozen (optional)
- Chemically fixed, freeze substituted
- Resin embedded
- En bloc staining
  - OsO<sub>4</sub>, UAc, Pb citrate
  - Osmium impregnation (OTO)
  - Want to make samples more conductive, more heavily stained
- Thin conductive layer (C, Pt, Au-Pd) coated just before insertion

# Imaging conditions

- Low voltage (2 keV or less)
  - Want to image only the surface
  - Minimal depth penetration (slice as thin as 5 nm)
  - No topographic information
  - Elemental contrast (C vs Os/Pb/U)
  - Through-lens detector for highest resolution
  - BSE mode (positive bias)
  - Stained parts will show up as bright on dark

# **Milling Samples**



## Set up for Slice and View


## Milling: i-beam view



### **Example Movie: Neural Tissue**



### Ideal workflow

- Samples stained and embedded
- Thin slice for overall map make easier to find features (LM or ultrathin EM section)
- Face of block polished
- Set up for slice and view (1 day)
- Collect slices (1-5 days)
- Align, process (IMOD, Amira) (1 day)
- Segmentation (IMOD, Amira) (weeksmonths)
  - Neural network automation : EMAN 2.2

## FIB/SEM for Cryo Prep

### Mill a thin slice through a cell



1: Villa E, Schaffer M, Plitzko JM, Baumeister W. Opening windows into the cell: focused-ion-beam milling for cryo-electron tomography. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2013 Oct;23(5):771-7. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2013.08.006. Review. PubMed PMID: 24090931.



1: Villa E, Schaffer M, Plitzko JM, Baumeister W. Opening windows into the cell: focused-ion-beam milling for cryo-electron tomography. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2013 Oct;23(5):771-7. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2013.08.006. Review. PubMed PMID: 24090931.

### Setup for standard Lamellae Preparation

#### FEI autogrid loading station with stereo microscope



#### Shuttle with 2 grids





#### Quorum cryo loading station



#### Cutting windows into cells and tissues

#### Find lamella in TEM





### Ideal workflow

- Cells grown on gold grid, then plunge frozen
- Image by cryo-LM to find features (1 day)
- Load into FIB/SEM, mill slices (1 day)
- Load into TEM, collect tomograms (1-2 days)
- Align, process (Protomo,IMOD) (1 day)
- Segmentation (IMOD, Amira)
- Sub-tomogram averaging

## **Cryo-SEM** imaging

**Technical Note** 

#### Cryo FIB-SEM: Volume imaging of cellular ultrastructure in native frozen specimens



Andreas Schertel<sup>a,1</sup>, Nicolas Snaidero<sup>b,1</sup>, Hong-Mei Han<sup>c</sup>, Torben Ruhwedel<sup>d</sup>, Michael Laue<sup>e</sup>, Markus Grabenbauer<sup>c,2</sup>, Wiebke Möbius<sup>d,f,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Training, Application and Support Center (TASC), Carl-Zeiss-Straße 22, D-73447 Oberkochen, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Cellular Neuroscience, Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hermann-Rein-Straße 3, D-37075 Göttingen, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Department of Systemic Cell Biology, Max-Planck-Institute of Molecular Physiology, Otto-Hahn-Straße 11, D-44227 Dortmund, Germany

<sup>d</sup> Department of Neurogenetics, Electron Microscopy Facility, Max-Planck-Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hermann-Rein-Straße 3, D-37075 Göttingen, Germany

<sup>e</sup> Advanced Light and Electron Microscopy, Centre for Biological Threats and Special Pathogens, Robert Koch Institute, Nordufer 20, D-13353 Berlin, Germany

<sup>f</sup> Center for Nanoscale Microscopy and Molecular Physiology of the Brain (CNMPB), Göttingen, Germany

### In-lens SE detector at 2.33 kV



### Lift Out

Use of FIB/SEM to prepare bulk material for TEM imaging

#### **Area of Interest**



http://www.fibics.com/fib/application/steps-in-tem-specimens-preparation-by-lift-out-method-/24/

#### **Protect Area of Interest**



#### Mill Trenches around area



## **Top View of Trench**



### **Polish Section**



### **Further Polishing**



#### **Iso-View of Second Polish**



#### Frame Cuts to Define Area for Removal



## **Top View of Frame Cut**



#### **Iso-View of Frame Cut**



#### Thin to Electron Transparency



### Top View just before Removal



# Remove Section and attach to manipulator (not shown)



## **Applications to Cryo**

Mahamid J, Schampers R, Persoon H, Hyman AA, Baumeister W, Plitzko JM. A focused ion beam milling and lift-out approach for sitespecific preparation of frozen-hydrated lamellas from multicellular organisms. J Struct Biol. 2015 Nov;192(2):262-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jsb.2015.07.012. PubMed PMID: 26216184.

### C Elegans Embryo HPF on grid







### **Equipment Needed**



## **Cryo-Liftout**



Figure 1. (Left) Cryo-FIB milling of a bulk sample to prepare a thin lamella, scale bar 5  $\mu$ m. (Right) extraction of the lamella by the cooled manipulator after attachment and release of lamella, scale bar 10  $\mu$ m.

Parmenter et al Microscopy Microanal (2014)

## **Cryo-Liftout**

#### unou maging, as masuatou tor nou. spraciorado m (5).



**Figure 2.** Preparation of electron transparent lamellas of frozen-hydrated specimens by cryo-FIB lift-out. (a, c) Site-specific milling of a lamella from the vitrified sample. (b, d) Attachment of the frozen lamella to a liquid nitrogen cooled nanomanipulator using water vapor. After similar attachment to a cooled TEM grid, the lamella is thinned to electron transparency. (e) A top-down image of a lamella created from a solid–liquid interface shows sample thinning to <100 nm. The final sample (f) was subsequently transferred to the cryo-STEM for nanoscale chemical analysis by EDX (g).

### Questions