Interpretation and Limitations of Single-Particle Cryo-EM Analysis

Rich Hite Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Cryo-EM structure determination



You have a density map, now what?

• First important question:

 What is the question that you are using cryo-EM structure determination to answer?

• Second question:

 What evidence do you have that supports the hypothesis that your structure faithfully represents the protein or complex that wish to study?

Third question:

- Is the domain that you are interested in studying well-resolved in all, some or none of your maps?
- If so, at what resolution?
- Can you see different conformations the domain?



You have a density map, now what?

First important question:

 What is the question that you are using cryo-EM structure determination to answer?

• Second question:

 What evidence do you have that supports the hypothesis that your structure faithfully represents the protein or complex that wish to study?

Third question:

- Is the domain that you are interested in studying well-resolved in all, some or none of your maps?
- If so, at what resolution?
- Can you see different conformations the domain?



You have a density map, now what?

- Functional assessment
- Second question What orthogonal approaches can you employ to support the hypothesis that your density map faithfully represents your protein of interest?
 - Can you reconstitute your protein or complex in an *in vitro* functional assay with the same purified components that are used for structure determination?
 - Does the domain architecture agree with previous data?



- Resolution corresponds to the distance between two objects that can be reliably separated in the map
- In EM, resolution is estimated by the Fourier shell correlation (FSC)
- FSC is determined by comparing the cross correlation between two independently calculated maps in Fourier space resolution shells
- Practically, this is done by separating a data set (10,000 1,000,000 particle images) into two halves and determining independent reconstructions of each half
- Different resolutions have different types of features that can be visualized in the density map



- What is the meaning of 4 Å map? A 3 Å map?
- How does the resolution influence our interpretation?
- What do we gain from higher resolution reconstructions?
- How can we improve the resolution of reconstruction?



EMDB 2022.03.15

- What is the meaning of 4 Å map? A 3 Å map?
- How does the resolution influence our interpretation?
- What do we gain from higher resolution reconstructions?
- How can we improve the resolution of reconstruction?



EMDB 2022.03.15

Sub-1 Å microED of bank vole prion protein 168-176 QYNNQNNFV



2.6 Å single particle reconstruction of aldolase from 200 keV



4.0 Å single particle reconstruction of TMEM16A (ion channel)



- What are common features of samples that yield high-resolution cryo-EM reconstructions?
- 2. What are common limitations that can degrade the resolution of cryo-EM reconstructions?
- 3. At what stages in the cryo-EM structure determination process can improvements be made to improve the resolution and interpretability?



EMDB - 9865

Features of high-quality samples for SPA

- Highest resolution single-particle cryo-EM structure in the EMDB is apoferritin at 1.15 Å
- Apoferritin accounts for 19 of the 20 deposited structures at resolutions greater than 1.6 Å (other AAV-2)
- What makes these samples particularly good for cryo-EM analysis?
- Why don't other samples achieve resolutions better than 2 Å?



EMDB - 9865

What if you don't work on apoferritin?

Features that can limit resolution:

- Low or no symmetry
- Difficult to produce
 - Low concentration
 - Sticks to the carbon support / nothing in the holes
- Heterogeneous samples
 - Compositional heterogeneity
 - Conformational heterogeneity
- Particle instability
 - Weak interactions
 - Damage by the air-water interface
- Preferred orientation



EMDB - 9865

Approaches to improve resolution

Ways to improve resolution:

- Brute-force data collection millions of particles
- Structure-determination chaperones
 - Antibody fragments
 - Nanobodies
- Identify small-molecule modulators of protein activity
- Identify protein-cofactors that modulate activity
- Molecular biology to truncate away flexible domains



- 2014 400 images a day
- 2017 800 images a day
- 2018 2000 images a day
- 2019 3500 K3 (1.5x area) images a day
- 2020 7000 K3 images per day

Approaches to improve resolution

Ways to improve resolution:

- Brute-force data collection millions of particles
- Structure-determination chaperones
 - Antibody fragments
 - Nanobodies
- Identify small-molecule modulators of protein activity
- Identify protein-cofactors that modulate activity
- Molecular biology to truncate away flexible domains





Sample heterogeneity

- There are multiple sources of heterogeneity in sample preparation
 - Compositional heterogeneity mixture of different components or mixtures with varying subunit stoichiometry
 - Structural heterogeneity domains mt43
 of the specimen can adopt multiple
 mt57
 conformations
 - In some cases, both types of heterogeneity exist within a single sample
- These will degrade the resolution of reconstructions if not sorted computationally, but can provide insights into function of the specimen



Heterogeneity - biochemical approaches

- Optimizing biochemistry can often help to minimize sample heterogeneity and is generally the best place start to improve quality
 - Improvements in sample purification can reduce compositional heterogeneity by obtaining a more uniform starting sample
 - Structural heterogeneity can be minimized by altering purification conditions (i.e. presence of activating or inhibiting ligands, different pH or salt conditions)
 - Domain deletions can also reduce sample heterogeneity by removing flexible domains or linkers
- In some cases chemical cross-linking can helpful to reduce flexibility
 - Testing cross-linking reagents with different lengths and varying the concentration can be helpful to optimize conditions
 - However, it is essential that the chemically cross-linked structure be validated with a non-cross-structure to demonstrate the the crosslinking does not introduce artifactual protein-protein interactions

- There are multiple sources of heterogeneity in cryo-EM samples
 - Compositional heterogeneity mixture of different components or mixtures with varying subunit stoichiometry
 - Structural heterogeneity domains of the specimen can adopt multiple conformations
 - In some cases, both types of heterogeneity exist within a single sample
- These will degrade the resolution of reconstructions if not sorted computationally, but can provide insights into function of the specimen



- Heterogeneity may be unavoidable for some samples, particularly large dynamic complexes and must be dealt with computationally after image acquisition
- There are now several different software packages that sort and classify particles, allowing one to create "pure" subsets of the particles images The simplest approach is classify based upon the entire molecule, which works well with large conformational differences



- Classification can be enhanced through the use of masks
- A mask can be placed around the region of interest - allowing independent sorting of different domains
- This multi-classification approach is particularly powerful for samples that have multiple different types of movements
- Another modification to classification is the use of background subtraction prior to classification to reduce the signal of constant domains during classification



- Relion has an automated procedure to apply masks based upon distinct flexible domains
- This approach is known as multi-body refinement
- It also determines the vectors of movement allowing an understanding of conformational dynamics across protein complexes



- Relion has an automated procedure to apply masks based upon distinct flexible domains
- This approach is known as multi-body refinement
- It also determines the vectors of movement allowing an understanding of conformational dynamics across protein complexes



- Relion has an automated procedure to apply masks based upon distinct flexible domains
- This approach is known as multi-body refinement
- It also determines the vectors of movement allowing an understanding of conformational dynamics across protein complexes



- CryoSPARC has an alternative approach that does not require *a priori* information about flexible interfaces known as 3D variability analysis
- It employs eigenvector analysis to identify the principal components present between particles in the data set
- These analyses can identify compositional and conformational heterogeneity within cryo-EM data



- CryoSPARC has an alternative approach that does not require *a priori* information about flexible interfaces known as 3D variability analysis
- It employs eigenvector analysis to identify the principal components present between particles in the data set
- These analyses can identify compositional and conformational heterogeneity within cryo-EM data



Benefits of heterogeneity?

- How can you use heterogeneity to better understand the biology of your samples?
- Does your heterogeneity correlate with functional changes?
- Always test to ensure that your representative density map is actually representative of your sample, and not merely some small portion of the particles that generate a high-resolution structure?
 - If the map does result from a very small fraction of particles, try to understand why?
 - Can you test activity to see if that makes sense biologically?



Benefits of heterogeneity?

- How can you use heterogeneity to better understand the biology of your samples?
- Does your heterogeneity correlate with functional changes?
- Always test to ensure that your representative density map is actually representative of your sample, and not merely some small portion of the particles that generate a high-resolution structure?
 - If the map does result from a very small fraction of particles, try to understand why?
 - Can you test activity to see if that makes sense biologically?

